

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/405,08	8 09/27/	99 USKELA S	017.37498800

020457 QM32/1022 ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARLINGTON VA 22209

EXAMINER NGLIYEN, B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

10/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/405,088	USKELA ET AL.	_		
, and y , touch	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2001 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): <u>35 U.S.C 112, second par</u>	agraph (claims 12-28) .		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been consecutive Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does No	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			,		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-31</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· · · · · · · · ·			
10. Other:					
S. Potent and Trademark Office					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art and reasons of obviousness set forth in the Final rejection, Paper No. 9, do teach towards limitations claimed by the Applicants .

JESSICA J. HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER